G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1920.

(March)

Later references.

File No. 3/14

Serial Nos. 2, 4, 5, 6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Presented

Serial Nos.

Part B for April 22

SUBJECT.

Report re Complaint against Kalanneghan Alasingachar Chief Parpatteyar of Melkote temple

---

Do Mysore No 5558 dt 7-4-22

Records the ———

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

G. R. S. 11] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mursai

NOTES.

File No. 316-2

FILE HEADING.

Complaint against Kalameyan Nasingrachen, Chik Pariathegyar of the Melkote temple

From

The Vadagale Residents of Melkote

Ref No. 117 14.12.20 Dated Received 16-12-20

Diary No. 12884	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complain that the Chik-Pariathegyar acted against Mamool on certain important occasions & that local officers have made adverse remarks about his conduct pray for the transfer of the person concerned.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Draft Tel. is Reb to D.C. Mysore, attaching in duplicate to [illegible] Mursai [illegible] by 16 [illegible]

2 June

26/12/20

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000—8-8-11

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 3/4 of 1920

Serial No.	
2	To the Dy: Comr, Mysore Distt., No. 2276 & 5-1-21 Forwards the petn & calls for a report on the allegations contained therein

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mg 314-20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 358

Petition & 26-7-21 addressed to the Dewan from by certain residents of Melkote complaining against the temporary Parattegar Kalamegham Alas ungracious and praying that he may be transferred elsewhere -

Dewan's order

3. A report may be called for from the Dy. Comr.

4. There is no specific allegation the complaint is a general one -

5. Draft C. M. Sub

89 - 24
5/8/21 5/8/21

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

7. The file Mis 314-20 is put up. It does not appear therefrom that the report has been called for under the orders of the Dewan.

The two papers have been put together.

Subt for orders. 8. The Regt forwarding this Rpt to the Bde Comr in Cmth is subt for appl

8/2/21

9. Returned with Drawings duly filled in with the Secretary's Memo

11/2/21

No 778/M-7314-20-49 15-2-21

To the D.C. Mysore

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Forwarded for report

15/2

G. R S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis File No. 314 of 1922

Serial No.

5

From the D. C. Mysore.,

letter no. C. 41 of 21-2-22 & 15-2-22

Submits report on the petn of the Vadakalai residents of Melkote complaining against Kalamegham Alasingracher, Parpattegar of the Sri Narayanaswamy temple at Melkote.

10. On the petition addressed to the Dewan, the Dewan's order was to the effect that after getting information on the points noted in the petn, a note should be put up as to when the man was employed and how he has been working.

11. In the letter now read, the D.C reports that the allegations made against the parpattegar are inspired ones, as a result of the existing ill-will between the Tenkales & Vadagales, the petrs being all Vadagales.

12. The ver précis in the file explains all the eight allegations in the petn. An English rendering of it has also been but not used.

13. The information as to when the Parpattegar was employed is not available in the ver: file on the subject.

14. As to the 2nd point how he has been

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | My File No. 314 of 1920

Serial No.

R

working, the Dy: Amildar has recorded ~~this~~ that he has been discharging his duties satisfactorily.

15 Submd for orders as to whether ~~the~~ a recording draft may be put up on the Dy: Comrs report.

16-3-22

16/3/22

16 Kalagangappa Hanmappa Char, a cultivator has been in occupancy of the temple grant since 1903

17 The above petition has been received against which is an anonymous one and the Dy Comrs report is that the allegations made ~~in~~ in it against him are due to the enmity of all persons between the Lingayats and the Jangamas of the place

18 I think disciplinary action is called for against the Dy: Amildar for not discharging his duties properly

19

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19 22

Serial No.

Note recorded and the file returned
Second Member
~~Dewan~~ 22/3/22

20

4846-35
17.3.22

U. approves.
Ref
18.3.22 - 19/3/22

22. Ref — Dewan's
letter dated 13-12-1920
Dewan) 22/3/22

Rs 3/-

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 20 1922
Reg. No. 4919

ST

See 47
23 J

Draft recording the letter is submd.
80
25/3/22 BG 27/3/22
Dr. Asst
27/3/22

Typed draft submd
89
25/3/22 BG 28/3/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

For final perusal before issue
Second number 27- 30/8/22

5-191-361
31.8.22

Secn
Revs
1.4.22

6 No 55589 dt 7th April 22
To, The D.C. Mysore
Records the D.C.'s letter dated

5.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No.... ....BANGALORE,

....19

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 1284 dated 4.12.22 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Renagonde Raghavacharya and Vadagale residents of Melkote complaining against the Amildar, the Sheristadar, the Circle Inspectors of Melkote Taluk that they are receiving bribes during Enquiries and other important transactions of the Revenue Department is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner for an early report in the matter the allegations contained in the petition.

the Deputy

By Order,

For *Secretary to Government.*

W D 1937—GPB-4,000—9-21-19

G. R. S. VI.—6/7]

DRAFT.

T. .....

.....

.....

Section. Jud. Cor
File No. C.R.—20
Serial No. 61

No. 1782

Diary No. of letter replied to 358

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Muz)
, *the* ..... 191 .

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 358 dated 26-7-21
..... 191 , received
at the General and Revenue Secretariat
from certain residents of Melkote complaining against Kalamegham Narasingachar, Parpattegar of the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote and praying that he may be transferred elsewhere

Date of issue 15-8-21

Signed by .....

is forwarded ~~together with its enclosures~~
to the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dist
~~for report~~ ~~and should be returned with the records~~
for that the prayer cannot be granted.

In continuation of this Office Memo. No. 2276/Jud. 314-20-2 dt 5-1-21

By Order,
12/8/21
Secretary to Government.

Muz: Deptt
5781

ಆಜೀದಾರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂಬರು.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ. .....

ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

List of enclosures

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 1255—GPB—5,000—21-1-19

3421 17/2

1922

From 314-20-5

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
Revenue Department
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 14th February 1922.

Despatched 15th February 1922.

Received

General No Rel Petn Circ 41 of 1921

No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Enclosed report on the petition of certain residents of Nellore complaining against one Kalamegham Shanmugachar, Parupathegar of the Sri Nanjundeshwara temple at Nellore

18/2/1922

No.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore,...6th..February.....1922.

14

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
(Muzrai Department.)

SIR,

Adverting to your marginally noted communications, calling for a report on the petitions from certain residents of Melkote, complaining against one Kala Megham Alasingra Char, Parapategar, of the Sri Narayana Swamy Temple at Melkote, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government, the records of enquiry on the allegations contained in the said petitions, received with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's report No.R.H.C.869/20-21 dated 12-1-22.

2. From the Deputy Amildar's detailed reports Nos.50 and 94 dated 28-9-1921 and 8-12-1921, I understand that the petitions are pseudonymous and the allegations made against Kalamegham Alasingrachar are inspired ones, as a result of the existing ill-will between the two rival factions of Tengalais and Vadagalais the so called petitioners being all of Vadagale sect and the counter-petitioner Tengale.

3. The petitions received with your memorandum under reply are appended to the enclosed file.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P Venkataramaiyé

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Official Memorandum

No.2276/Muz.314-20-2
dated 15th January 1921.

No. 778/Muz.314-20-4 dated
15th August 1921.

14

M 7314-20-6. AK

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.

The Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Petition registered No.117 dated the 14th December 1920 from certain residents of Melkote, complaining against Chik Parpathegar, Kalamegham Alasingachar of the Sri Narayanaswami temple and praying that he may be transferred to some other place.

2 Correspondence ending with letter No.C.41 of 21-22 dated 14th February 1922 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist. stating that he understands that the petitions in question ~~are~~ to pseudonymous and that the allegations against the parpathegar have been made as a result of the existing ill-feeling between the Vadagalais and the Tengalais, the petitioners being all Vadagalais and the parpathegar a Tengalai.

Order-- No. 5558 Bangalore

Dated Bangalore, 7-4-22

Recorded.

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Deptt.

To

The Deputy Commr., Mysore Dist.
returning the ver. file of papers

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Points of complaint by the Vadagales of Melkote against Kalamegham Alasingracher, Parpattegar of the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, as stated by them on their petn regd no 1784 & 1-12-1920.	Explanation for the same.
1) The attendance register of the Sillakuntis in the Sri Narayanaswamy temple is unreliable and has been ~~so remarked~~ Noted by the S.D.O	The Parpattegar in question was not responsible for the irregularity pointed out, as he was off duty then.
2) Though the duty of maintaining the register referred to was transferred to the Manegar, it has not been brought into effect	Nothing on record to this effect.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.